



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,824	11/20/2003	Martin E. Banton	A2454-US-NP	8574
75931 7590 06/09/2008 BASCH & NICKERSON LLP 1777 PENFIELD ROAD PENFIELD, NY 14526				
EXAMINER				
WOLDEMARIAM, AKILILU K				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2624				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/09/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/717,824	Applicant(s) BANTON, MARTIN E.	
	Examiner BRIAN P. WERNER	Art Unit 2624	

All Participants:

(1) BRIAN P. WERNER (SPE).

(2) Michael J. Nickerson (Reg. 33,265).

Date of Interview: 31 March 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
NA

Claims discussed:
1

Prior art documents discussed:
NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Brian P. Werner/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: Approx 3PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner and applicant's representative agreed to entry of the 312 amendment received on 3/5/08 to rectify a misunderstanding regarding the examiner's amendment of 2/29/08. Claim 1, as advanced in the 312 amendment, would be passed to issue. The examiner and applicant's representative also agreed that the limitations "n is an integer" and "m is an integer" applied to all n's and m's in the claim..